



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLIC TION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/480,037	7 11/29/9	9 VENABLE		R	ZW-24B
			\neg		EXAMINER
		MMC2/041	1.		
W. H. ME]	SE			SNOW.	. fal
LOCKHEED N	MARTIN CORF	ORATION 608-BLD	9. 27	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 15	61				
KING OF PF	USSIA PA 1	9406		2862	
				DATE MAILED:	
					04711701

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Office Action Guinnary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	4. Show	2862
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the o	correspondence address –
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO DF THIS COMMUNICATION.	D EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reflection of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period is after the maintern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	eply within the statutory minimum of thirty t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing tute, cause the application to become ABA	(30) days will be considered timely. date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4 / /2/)	•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935		to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 8, 9, 16 and 1)		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	allowed.
& Claim(s) 8, 9 and 16		
	is/are	rejected.
DxClaim(s) 17	is/are	rejected. objected to.
Claim(s)	is/are are su	objected to. bject to restriction or election
Claim(s)	is/are are su requir	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s) Claim(s) Description Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are su are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s)	is/are su are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are su are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s)	is/are su are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are su are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 15 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	is/are is/are surequire is approved disapproved to by the Examiner	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s)	is/are is/are are su require is approved disapproved to by the Examiner and is used to by the Examiner and is used to by the Examiner are successful index 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement
Claim(s) Claim(s) Deplication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objectory. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objectory. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under all Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been recommendation.	is/are is/are are su require is approved disapproved to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement red.
Claim(s)	is/are is/are surequire is approved disapproved to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). acceived.	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement red.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Deplication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objectory. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objectory. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority used in the company of the company of the company of the priority documents have been recompany. Certified copies of the priority documents have been recompany of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recompany.	is/are is/are are su require is approved disapproved to by the Examiner Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Received. Received in Application No.	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement red.
Claim(s)	is/are are su require is approved disapproved to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). acceived. acceived in Application No. acceived Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement red.
Claim(s)	is/are are su require is approved disapproved to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). acceived. acceived in Application No. acceived Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement red.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on	is/are are su require is approved disapproved to by the Examiner Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Received. Received in Application No. Shave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement red.
Claim(s)	is/are are su require is approved disapproved to by the Examiner Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Received. Received in Application No. Shave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement red.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on	is/are are su require is approved disapproved ted to by the Examiner Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Received. Received in Application No. Received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	objected to. bject to restriction or election ement /ed.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. -

Art Unit: 2862

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 8, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Foxworthy, of record, in view of Daetz et al.

Foxworthy discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for the use of Hall effect

devices.

Daetz teaches that Hall devices may be substituted for read switches.

It would have been obvious to use Hall devices as claimed in the apparatus of Forworthy

in view of the teaching of Daetz.

3. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Snow/ds

03/28/01

WALTER SNOW